

# Taxonomy of **Package Management** in Programming Languages and Operating Systems

Hisham Muhammad

Kong Inc. / htop / LuaRocks / GoboLinux

Lucas Correia Villa Real

IBM Research / GoboLinux

Michael Homer

Victoria University of Wellington / GoboLinux

# *Introduction: a real-world example*

To do frontend web development on a Mac, you may need to install client-side JavaScript components

# *Introduction: a real-world example*

To do frontend web development on a Mac, you may need to install client-side JavaScript components using **Bower**

# *Introduction: a real-world example*

To do frontend web development on a Mac, you may need to  
install client-side JavaScript components using **Bower**  
install Bower using **npm**

# *Introduction: a real-world example*

To do frontend web development on a Mac, you may need to

- install client-side JavaScript components using **Bower**
- install Bower using **npm**
- install npm using **Homebrew**

# *Introduction: a real-world example*

To do frontend web development on a Mac, you may need to

- install client-side JavaScript components using **Bower**
- install Bower using **npm**
- install npm using **Homebrew**
- install Homebrew using... **curl?**

# *Introduction: the mess we're in*

The ecosystem is not getting any simpler  
"Why don't we all just use RPM/APT/etc.?"

# *Introduction: the mess we're in*

The ecosystem is not getting any simpler

"Why don't we all just use RPM/APT/etc.?"

"Why don't we all just use C/Rust/Python/Haskell/etc.?"



# *Introduction: the mess we're in*

The ecosystem is not getting any simpler

"Why don't we all just use RPM/APT/etc.?"

"Why don't we all just use C/Rust/Python/Haskell/etc.?"

"Package management is a(n un)solved problem"

# *Introduction: the mess we're in*

The ecosystem is not getting any simpler

"Why don't we all just use RPM/APT/etc.?"

"Why don't we all just use C/Rust/Python/Haskell/etc.?"

"Package management is a(n un)solved problem"

"Programming languages are a(n un)solved problem"

# *Introduction: the mess we're in*

The ecosystem is not getting any simpler

"Why don't we all just use RPM/APT/etc.?"

"Why don't we all just use C/Rust/Python/Haskell/etc.?"

"Package management is a(n un)solved problem"

"Programming languages are a(n un)solved problem"

*We accept the multitude of languages,  
why not the multitude of package managers?*

# *Introduction: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different languages for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. We know that there is room for DSLs and general-purpose langs
3. We know how to set boundaries and make languages interact

# *Introduction: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different languages for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. We know that there is room for DSLs and general-purpose langs
3. We know how to set boundaries and make languages interact  
Not so much for package managers!

# *Introduction: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different languages for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. We know that there is room for DSLs and general-purpose langs
3. We know how to set boundaries and make languages interact  
Not so much for package managers!

*Let's look at package managers  
the same way we look at languages*

# *Understanding package management*

1. *Language-specific vs.  
Language-agnostic  
package managers*



# *Types of languages: domain-specific and general-purpose PLs*

domain-specific

seen as "smaller"

defined by *inclusion*  
of features for the domain

general area ("systems")

seen as "complete"

defined by the  
*generality* of its features

# Types of package managers: domain-specific and general-purpose PMs

"language-specific"

language ecosystem

defined by *inclusion*  
of features for the domain

"language-agnostic"

often deal with whole OS

defined by the  
*generality* of its features

# *Types of package managers: examples*

## *language-specific*

pip (Python)

RubyGems (Ruby)

npm (JavaScript)

Cabal (Haskell)

Cargo (Rust)

LuaRocks (Lua)

## *language-agnostic*

RPM (RedHat/Fedora/etc.)

dpkg/apt (Debian/Ubuntu/etc.)

Pacman (Arch Linux)

Homebrew (macOS)

Nix (NixOS)

GoboLinux

# *Why have language-specific PMs at all?*

## **scalability**

Debian: 59,000+

Java (Maven Central): 290,000+

Ruby packages in Debian: 1,196

Ruby packages in RubyGems: 150,000+

# *Why have language-specific PMs at all?*

## **portability**

packaging language extensions using OS managers  
leads to an n-by-m explosion

Windows and Mac have no native package manager

2. *Paradigms of package  
management:  
Filesystem-oriented vs.  
Database-oriented*

# *Paradigms of programming languages: a didactic tool*

It's a typical didactic device to organize PLs by "paradigms":

Imperative, functional, etc.

Procedural, object-oriented, etc.

They illustrate design choices ("how to represent computation")

and design choices bring design trade-offs

# *Paradigms of package managers: a central design choice*

"How to map files into packages"

Using the file hierarchy itself: **filesystem-oriented**

Externally to the files managed: **database-oriented**



# *Paradigms of package management: examples*

## *filesystem-oriented*

Homebrew (macOS)

npm (JavaScript)

Nix (NixOS)

Cargo (Rust)

GoboLinux

LuaRocks 1.x

## *database-oriented*

RPM (RedHat/Fedora/etc.)

pip (Python)

dpkg/apt (Debian/Ubuntu/etc.)

Cabal (Haskell)

Pacman (Arch Linux)

LuaRocks 2.x+

# Paradigms of package management: trade-offs compared

## *filesystem-oriented*

**define** the structure:  
designed to avoid clashes,  
keep mapping in sync is **trivial**

applications need to **use** the  
filesystem structure defined:  
runtime lookup may be **complex**

more often language-specific

## *database-oriented*

**adapt** to pre-existing structure:  
needs to forbid clashes,  
**fragile** if goes out of sync

applications can be  
**unaware** of manager:  
runtime lookup can be **trivial**

most distro managers

	Filesystem-oriented	Database-oriented
Language-agnostic	Homebrew (macOS), GNU Stow, Nix, Encap, PBI 8 (PC-BSD), GoboLinux	RPM (RedHat/Fedora/etc.), dpkg/apt (Debian/Ubuntu/etc.) PBI 9 (PC-BSD), Pacman (ArchLinux)
Language-specific	npm (server-side JavaScript), Bower (client-side JavaScript) RubyGems (Ruby), Cargo (Rust), LuaRocks 1.x (Lua)	Cabal (Haskell), pip (Python), LuaRocks 2.x (Lua)

**Figure 1.** A package manager taxonomy, with representative examples

	Language-specific managers			
Package managers	npm	RubyGems	NuGet	LuaRocks
Portability	OS-independent (all Unix, Windows)			
Installs code written in	JS family, C/C++	Ruby, C/C++, JVM family	any .NET, C++	Lua family, C/C++
Files managed	JS scripts, JS modules	Ruby scripts, Ruby modules	.NET and native packages	Lua scripts, Lua modules
Supports per-user install	yes			

	Language-agnostic managers			
Package managers	Nix	Homebrew	RPM	GoboLinux
Portability	Linux/macOS	macOS/Linux	Linux/AIX	Linux/Cygwin/OSX
Installs code written in	any language			
Files managed	all kinds			
Supports per-user install	yes	no*	no	yes

\* different installation prefixes are supported but `/usr/local` is strongly recommended.

**Figure 2.** Contrasting language-specific and language-agnostic package managers

3. *Integration between languages vs.  
Integration between package  
managers*

# *Integration between languages: dynamic and static integrations*

Dynamic (at runtime):

calling conventions, LuaJIT FFI, Python cffi...

Static (at compile time):

linking formats, Lua C/API <lua.h>, PyObject API <Python.h>...

# *Integration between package managers: dynamic and static integrations*

none?

# *Integration between package managers dynamic and static integrations*

Dynamic (at runtime):

what happens if you install a package that uses a runtime FFI and the C library is not installed?

Static (at compile time):

what happens if you install a bindings package and the headers of the library you're binding to are not installed?

*Experiences with  
package management*



# *GoboLinux: fs-oriented OS package management*

Linux distribution project started in 2003

Each package installed under a separate prefix:

`/Programs/GCC/6.2.0/bin/gcc`

`/Programs/Glibc/2.24/lib/libc.so.6`

A tree of symlinks provides compatibility and runtime resolution

Running on this computer!

Informed the design of Homebrew ("the GoboLinux way")

# *LuaRocks: a language-specific package manager*

Package manager for the Lua programming language (2007-current)

LuaRocks 1.x: filesystem-oriented design

informed by GoboLinux design: multiple versions, no file conflicts!

required runtime cooperation: custom package loader for require()

LuaRocks 2.x+: database-oriented design

lots of code to deal with file conflicts

no runtime cooperation required: works with Lua out-of-the-box!

maintained optional custom package loader (does way more work)

# *LuaRocks and GoboLinux Aliens: bridging OS and PL package managers*

LuaRocks: minimal PL-to-OS management awareness

```
external_dependencies = { MYSQL = { header = "mysql.h" } }
```

can be used for both FFI and C-API dependencies  
to gracefully fail ahead-of-time — doesn't actually install

GoboLinux Aliens: OS-to-PL management awareness

GoboLinux packages can depend on PL packages, uses PL managers:

```
Cabal:mtl
```

```
CPAN:XML::Parser 0.4.1
```

# *Conclusion: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different package managers for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. There is room for PL-specific and OS-wide PMs
3. We need to set boundaries and make package managers interact

# *Conclusion: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different package managers for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. There is room for PL-specific and OS-wide PMs
3. We need to set boundaries and make package managers interact  
Time for a package manager protocol?

# *Conclusion: multitude of languages, multitude of managers*

1. Different package managers for different purposes  
Different paradigms, different trade-offs
2. There is room for PL-specific and OS-wide PMs
3. We need to set boundaries and make package managers interact  
Time for a package manager protocol?

*Let's look at package managers  
the same way we look at languages*